Gradient Relaxation Events → Edge Fluctuations and SOL Broadening

P.H. Diamond, M. Cao UC San Diego TTF 2023; Badger Hole, Wisc.

This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences, under Award Number DEFG02-04ER54738.

or "Interesting Things come in Pairs"

Profuse Thanks to:

• F. Khabanov, T. Long (SWIP), R. Hong, G. Yu

G. Tynan, Z. Yan, G. McKee, Minjun Choi (KFE)

and

• Frontiers/DIII-D Experiment Program

Introduction

- Turbulence spreading, propagation of great interest in context SOL broadening
 - CF M. Kobayashi +, 2022
 - Chu, P.D., Guo, 2022
 - P.D. TTF 2022
 - Nami Li, Zeyu Li \rightarrow this meeting
- Idea: pedestal turbulence (includes ELMs, MHD) spreads into stable SOL, thus broadening SOL width. Penetration depth?
- Key Issue: Trade-off? \rightarrow Need broaden λ_q while maintain good confinement

Introduction, cont'd

Foundation: Phyiscs of turbulence spreading, avalanches, etc.

- Avalanches Spreading Spreading M. Choi, 2018 (KSTAR) ECEI Khabanov, 2023 (DIII-D) BES velocimetry i.e. $\langle \tilde{V}_r \tilde{n}^2 \rangle$

Introduction, cont'd

• Avalanches \rightarrow opposite propagation of bumps and voids



P.D. + Hahm '95 et seq.

N.B.: bump and void propagation observed \rightarrow Choi, 2018

• Hint of opposite $\langle \tilde{v}_r \tilde{n}^2 \rangle$ spreading pulses near sep.



Khabanov, this meeting

Introduction, cont'd

- Why the ?
- Edge gradient relaxation event (GRE)



 \rightarrow inward propagating "void" or "hole"

- \leftrightarrow Conservative advection
- \rightarrow outward propagating "clump" or "blob"

Related: B+B Model (1996→)

• 1D Vlasov mock up of EP resonant instability



- N.B. BB speak and draw "clump-hole pair" but <u>calculate</u> via 3 wave coupling
 - → coherence of structure ?!
- Common element: relaxation \rightarrow structure pair production and propagation

General Question:

"Is there a connection between turbulence spreading and blob-void pairs of structures?"

A) Spreading Pulses Experiments (Ting Long, SWIP) 1

- HL-2A
- Aims:
 - Exploration of intensity flux intensity gradient relation in edge turbulence (exploits spreading, shear layer collapse and density limit studies Long + NF'21)
 - Physics of "Jet Velocity" profile

 $V_I = \langle \tilde{v}_r \tilde{n}^2 \rangle / \langle \tilde{n}^2 \rangle \rightarrow$ spreading flux, normalized

N.B. Identified by Townsend, 1949

Experiments 2

• There exits a region in plasma edge, where the turbulence spreading flux $\langle \tilde{v}_r \tilde{n}^2 \rangle / 2$ is large, but the turbulence intensity gradient $\partial_r \langle \tilde{n}^2 \rangle$ is near zero



Experiments 3

- Striking difference between particle diffusivity and energy spreading diffusivity
 - > Diffusivity of turbulent particle flux $\langle \tilde{n}\tilde{v}_r \rangle = -D_n \partial_r \langle n \rangle$
 - > Diffusivity of turbulence spreading $\langle \tilde{v}_r \tilde{n}^2 \rangle = -\chi_I \partial_r \langle \tilde{n}^2 \rangle$



- χ_I is not equal to D_n^{13} (0.45n_G)
 - (in both magnitude and <u>sign</u>)
- χ_I is large where $\partial_r \langle \tilde{n}^2 \rangle$ is near zero
- χ_I increases significantly as \bar{n}/n_G * increases

(Both \bar{n} and I_p involved)

Practical validity of Fickian model is dubious

Experiments 4

• The "mean jet velocity" of turbulence spreading $V_I = \frac{\langle \tilde{v}_r \tilde{n}^2 \rangle}{\langle \tilde{n}^2 \rangle}$ and skewness of density fluctuations show strong correlation





- Their trends and signs are consistent
- More work is on the correlation between "blobs/holes" and turbulence spreading is suggested
- *V_I* skewness trend follows joint reflection symmetry relation

 \leftarrow suggests that spreading flux is carried by pulses and structures

This all brings us to...



Theoretical Problem #1

-How formulate spreading model with pulse fluctuations?

-How do pulses interact with SOL environment?

Spreading as Fluctuation Pulses

- Edge turbulence intermittent:
 - Strong $\langle V_E \rangle' \rightarrow \sim$ marginal avalanching state
 - Weaker $\langle V_E \rangle' \rightarrow$ 'blobs', etc. $\Gamma_e = \langle \Gamma_e \rangle + \tilde{\Gamma}_e$

Pulses / Avalanches are natural description

 $\delta P \equiv$ deviation of profile from criticality

$$\delta P \leftrightarrow (\nabla P - \nabla P_{crit})/P$$

Naturally: $\delta P \sim \delta \varepsilon$

 \rightarrow Spreading as intensity pulses

(after Hwa, Kardar, P.D., Hahm)

 \rightarrow But what happens in SOL ?



Pulse, void symmetry arguments etc.

Fluctuation Energy Pulses, cont'd

- Generalized Burgers model coming
- Elements:
 - $-\delta P > 0$ turbulence ejected into SOL
 - $\delta \varepsilon > 0$ positive intensity fluctuation
 - $-V_D > 0$ mean drift out curvature
- * Scale independent damping

 $(1/\tau)\delta P$ due finite dwell time in SOL \rightarrow order parameter not conserved

• "Noise" is b.c.

- $\tilde{\Gamma}_{0,e}|_{sep}$ drives system, space-time
- Variability in sparatrix fluctuation energy flux is key

Fluctuation Energy Pulses, cont'd

• Pulse model:

1 drift
2 dwell time decay
3 spreading

$$1$$
3
$$\frac{1}{3}$$

$$\frac{3}{\delta P} + V_D \partial_x \delta P + \alpha \delta P \partial_x \delta P - D_0 \partial_x^2 \delta P + \frac{\delta P}{\tau} = 0$$
regularization

• Some limits:

$$-\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$
, $V_D \partial_x \delta P \sim \frac{\delta P}{\tau} \rightarrow \lambda \sim \lambda_{HD}$ scale (1) vs (2)

– For ε to matter:

 $\alpha \delta P > V_D \rightarrow$ amplitude vs neo drift comparison (1)

(3)

VS

• Structure is Burgers + Krook \rightarrow Crooked Burgers ?!

Fluctuation Energy Pulses, cont'd

• Predictions?

Structure formation \rightarrow Shock Criterion !

i.e. Recall:
$$\frac{d\delta P}{dt} = -\frac{\delta P}{\tau}$$
, $\frac{dx}{dt} = \alpha \delta P$

• Solve via characteristics:

$$x = \alpha \left[z + \frac{\binom{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}}{1-\tau}}{(1/\tau)} f(z) \right]$$

Shock for: $f'(z) < -1/\tau$

 \rightarrow initial slope must be sufficiently steep to shock before damped by $1/\tau$

→ Relates pulse shape for shock to SOL dwell time

Spreading as Intensity Pulses, cont'd



 $\alpha \delta P < V_D \rightarrow$ defacto 'evaporation criterion'

→ defines penetration depth of pulse by $\alpha \delta P \rightarrow V_D$ relaxation

- Aim to characterize <u>statistics</u> of pulses, penetration depth distribution... in terms $Pdf(\tilde{\Gamma}_{0,e})$. Challenging...
 - → Meaningful output for SOL broadening problem

 $\delta P \gtrless V_D / \alpha$ sets penetration depth $\partial \delta P / \partial x|_{sep}$ is critical quantity

Spreading as Intensity Pulses, cont'd

- ~ 2D Model
- How address shearing \rightarrow c.f. P.D., Hahm '95 \rightarrow "Double" Burgers

 $\partial_t \delta P + V_D \partial_x \delta P + V_E(x) \partial_y \delta P + \alpha \delta P \partial_x \delta P - D_0 (\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2) \delta P = 0$



• Shearing + scattering will couple $V_E(x)$ to $\alpha \delta P \partial_x \delta P$. Model required

→ TBC...

Theoretical Problem #2

–What holds blob/void structures together – especially in shear flow? \rightarrow Physics of self-coherence?

B) Blob-Void Pair: Basic Structure

- What makes a coherent structure "coherent" ?
- Clue: Vlasov Plasma



 and standard analysis, ala' 'waterbag model' collisionless gravitation cf: Berk + '60s, Dupree '82



• key: $\tilde{f} \Delta V \rightarrow \text{strength/charge sign } \tilde{f} \rightarrow \gtrless 0$ screening $\epsilon(k, kV_0) \rightarrow \gtrless 0$



- "clump" : $\epsilon < 0$ for $\tilde{f} > 0 \rightarrow V_0 > V_{th}$

→

- "hole" : $\epsilon > 0$ for $\tilde{f} < 0 \rightarrow V_0 < V_{th}$
- N.B.: Coherence $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ Self-field induced stability

• Relevant example: Pressure Blob in Shear Flow

$$-i(\omega - kV_{0})\hat{P} = -\hat{V}_{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[\langle P_{0}\rangle + \delta P\right] \quad \delta P \text{ in shear flow}$$

$$-i(\omega - kV_{0})\nabla_{\perp}^{2}\hat{\phi} = -\kappa \nabla_{y}\hat{P}$$

$$\nabla_{\perp}^{2}\hat{\phi} - \frac{\kappa\nabla_{y}\tilde{V}_{r}\partial_{r}P_{0}}{(\omega - kV_{0})^{2}} = \frac{\kappa\nabla_{y}\tilde{V}_{r}\partial_{r}\delta P}{(\omega - kV_{0})^{2}}$$

$$\hat{\phi} = \int dx' G(x, x') \frac{\kappa k^{2} \hat{\phi} \delta P(x')}{(\omega - kV_{0})^{2}} \qquad \text{N.B. After Taylor-Golds}$$

$$\hat{\phi} = \int dx' G(x, x') \frac{\kappa k^2 \hat{\phi} \delta P(x')}{(\omega - kV_0(x'))^2}$$
 N.B. After Taylor-Goldstein Eqn.

 δP

 x_0

- \rightarrow screened structure. Base state need not be unstable
- \rightarrow with box model, considerable simplification possible

$$\rightarrow \phi(x) = G(x, x_0) \kappa k^2 \phi(x_0) \delta P \left[\frac{1}{(\omega - kV_0(x_0 - \Delta x))^2} - \frac{1}{(\omega - kV_0(x_0 + \Delta x))^2} \right]$$

• So for $x \sim x_0$:

$$(\omega - kV_0)^2 = k^2 V_0'^2 (\Delta x)^2 - \left[2G\kappa k^2(\delta P)(V_{ph} - V_0)k^2 V_0' \Delta x\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- Competition:
 - Shear across structure $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ dispersion (1)
 - $-\delta P \rightarrow$ strength

- G \rightarrow screening by system
- Does blob hold itself? together vs shear ? \rightarrow key question

• The critical balance:

$$G \kappa \delta P \left(V_{ph} - V_0 \right)$$
 vs $V_0'^2 (\Delta x) V_0'$

$$\frac{G\kappa\delta P/\Delta x}{V_0'^2} \text{ vs } \left[\left(V_{ph} - V_0 \right)^{-1} V_0' \Delta x \right] \sim O(1)$$

 \leftrightarrow Richardson # (screened) for blob ~ 1

 $Ri = \omega_B^2 / V'^2 \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{buoy energy} \\ \text{vs shear} \end{array}$

- Consistent with qualitative expectations of marginality. Note screening enters !
- Blob vs Void \rightarrow sign *G* ! (screening)

 $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ location relative to shear layer ($V_{ph} = \omega/k \text{ vs } V_0(x)$)

N.B.: Begs question of SOL blob data vs Ri

N.B.: Boedo 2003, et. seq noted pronounced effect of shearing on blob population

- Message: Can formulate physically meaningful coherecy or 'self-binding' criterion for blobs, voids in base state
- ~ Richardson # criterion interesting
 - amplidute δP and <u>extent</u> Δx combine vs shear \rightarrow minimal structural characterization. Screening enters.
 - how does it fare vs data?
- Need better understanding of role of resonance between V_{ph} and $V_0(x)$

From "Blobs" to "Bump"

- Samantha Chen +, this meeting
 - density bump in disk
 - modifies PV profile
 stability etc. to Rossby wave
 - Rossby wave → momentum transport → accretion
- When would localized $\delta\beta(r)$ self-bind for Rossby wave system?
- i.e. $\omega = -k_x \beta/k^2$ now $\beta \rightarrow \beta + \delta\beta(x)$ localized defect. Persistence?
- so $(\omega kV_0(x))k_{\perp}^2\phi = -k_x(\beta + \delta\beta(x_0))\phi$



From "Blobs" to "Bump", cont'd

• Similar analysis \rightarrow

 $(\omega - kV_0)^2 = (k_x V_0' \Delta x)^2 + G k_x^2 V_0' \delta \beta \Delta x$

(shearing) (self-field of bump)

• Critical competition:

 V_0' vs $G\delta\beta/\Delta x$ set bump size, scale

• Reminiscent of shearing vs vorticity gradient drive

Thoughts for Experiment and Analysis

- Pulse propagation studies in SOL environments, i.e. Tubes?
- Track blob-void:
 - -Pair size distribution. Plot vs GRE strength
 - Separation speed and growth. Plot vs. GRE strength

 \rightarrow momentum relation ?

 Test Ri,s scaling of ejected blob distribution via electrode bias-driven shear layer (JTEXT)

A Concluding Thought



Supported by U.S. Dept. of Energy under Award Number DE-FG02-04ER54738